

The Relationship of Gentamicyn Antibiotic Exposure To: *Escherichia coli* Bacteria Resistant to Antibiotic Gentamicyn and *Escherichia coli* ESBL In Vitro

Diah Retno Kusumawati^{1,2*}

¹ Clinical Medical Science Master Degree, Faculty of Medicine, Airlangga University Surabaya

² Departement of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya

*Corresponding author:

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Keywords:	Introduction: The development of bacteria that have been resistant to antibiotics can
Escherichia coli,	complicate the treatment process. Either causes of antibiotic resistance is inappropriate
Susceptible,	use of antibiotics. Gentamycin is an aminoglycoside-derived antibiotic which its role is
Resistant,	very significant for Gram-negative bacteria. Repeated use of Gentamycin antibiotics can
Gentamycin,	cause changes the effectiveness of Gentamycin so that non ESBL-Gentamycin
ESBL	susceptible Escherichia coli will change into ESBL-Gentamycin resistant Escherichia
	coli. This study aims to prove that repeated exposure to Gentamycin in vitro will change
	non ESBL-Gentamycin susceptible Escherichia coli into ESBL Gentamycin resistant
	Escherichia coli.
	Methods: This was an experimental study with 30 samples of non ESBL-Gentamycin
~	susceptible Escherichia coli isolates identified from the Phoenix. Non ESBL-
Submission:	Gentamycin susceptible Escherichia coli was tested by giving exposure to Gentamycin
June 4 th , 2021	for 14 days, then ESBL screening was tested by <i>Cefotaxime</i> exposure to the results of
Review:	Gentamycin exposure.
December 5 th ,	Result and Discussion: There were 4 isolates of <i>Escherichia coli</i> which experienced
2021 Dechlich	changes in phenotype into <i>Gentamycin</i> resistant <i>Escherichia coli</i> . The rest of it still
Publish:	susceptible to Gentamycin on days 2, 4 and 10. Furthermore, the <i>Escherichia con</i> isolates
January 20 ⁻⁴ , 2022	were boun susceptible to <i>Gentamycin</i> and those that had phenotypic changes become
	resistant to Genumycin after exposed to Cefotaxime as an ESDL screening. There are so (26.70%) isolates that are still suscentible to Cefotaxime and 18 (60%) isolates that have
	(20.7%) isolates that are still susceptible to Cejoluxinie and 18 (00%) isolates that have
	(13.3%) Containven resistant Escharichia coli are then exposed to Colorarime and
	obtained all isolates is resistant to Cafotarina
	Conclusion: Repeated exposure of <i>Gentamycin</i> for 14 days in vitro was not significantly
	related to the phenotypic changes of non FSRI <i>-Gantamycin</i> suscentible <i>Escharichia coli</i>
	isolates into FSBI -Gentamycin resistant Escherichia coli ($P = 0.550$ Phi–0.237)
	100 molecular for the second matrix of (1 - 0.550, 1 m - 0.257).

Introduction

The development of bacteria that have become resistant to antibiotics can

complicate the treatment process. One of the causes of antibiotic resistance is the inappropriate use of antibiotics. Some resistant bacteria that often appear include methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis, Vancomycin-resistant Enterococci, Gram-negative bacteria that are resistant to the β -lactam group (Desiyana, 2008).

The use of antibiotics that are not following existing resistance patterns can cause bacterial resistance to an antibiotic. One of the principles behind the emergence and spread of resistance between bacteria is the prevalence of resistance, which is directly proportional to the number of antibiotics used in various treatments. This is illustrated by the increase in antibiotic resistance in several countries that do not limit the use of antibiotics (Elliot *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, it is necessary to make an effort to determine the suitability of using antibiotics based on the results of culture and bacterial sensitivity tests.

Gentamycin is an Aminoglycoside derivative antibiotic that is very significant, especially because of its role against Gramnegative bacteria. This compound is used for bacteria that are resistant to other antibiotics. The mechanism of Gentamycin action is by binding irreversibly to the 30S subunit of the bacterial ribosome which results in inhibiting protein synthesis and causing an incorrect translocation of the genetic code. Gentamycin is bactericidal. Gentamycin is effective against a wide range of Gram-negative bacterial strains including Escherichia. Enterobacter.

Klebsiella, Proteus, and Pseudomonas species. For against Gram-positive microorganisms, Gentamycin is effective for Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis.

The research conducted by Winarto in 2004 - 2005, regarding the prevalence of ESBL (Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase) bacteria from blood specimens at Dr. Kariadi General Hospital, it was stated that the pattern of the effectiveness of antibiotics against ESBL Gentamycin bacteria was> 40%. The effectiveness of the antibiotic Gentamycin against various kinds of bacteria was varies, which against Acinetobacter baumannii by 40%, E. coli ESBL 63%, Klebsiella aerogenes 70%, Klebsiella pneumoniae ESBL 71.5%, and even against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by 92.5%, so the authors feel the need to changes research in the effectiveness of the antibiotic Gentamycin against E. coli ESBL.

The change in sensitivity to Gentamycin was influenced by the genes encoding Aceltiltransferase aac (3) - IIa and $\alpha\alpha c$ (3) - VI α which in the R. plasmid where if the bacteria were exposed to Gentamycin continuously then Aceltyltransferase $\alpha\alpha c$ (3) - II α and $\alpha\alpha c$ (3) - VIa will be expressed by releasing the enzyme *N* acetyltransferase. Then the enzyme will influence the bacteria to change its catch point in the 30S Ribosome sub-unit.

In the plasmid, several other resistant genes are likely to be ESBL coding genes, namely BlaTEM, BlaSHV, and CTX, so that if the $\alpha\alpha$ c (3) - II α and $\alpha\alpha$ c (3) - VI α *Aceltyltransferase* genes in plasmids are expressed due to repeated exposure to *Gentamycin*, the ESBL gene will also expressed the bacteria changed, which initially was *Gentamycin* susceptible *E. coli* non-ESBL, which turned into *Gentamycin* resistant producing ESBL *E. coli*.

Methods

This research is an experimental study by providing treatment and observation of non-ESBL E. coli bacteria from urine culture. This study used a pre-post test only design, where the test was conducted at the beginning and the end of the study to see the changes that occurred after the treatment was carried out. The study of the population was clinical isolates of E. coli stored from urine specimens in the Clinical Unit of Dr. Microbiology Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya. The research sample was clinical isolate E. coli which stored from urine specimens and was susceptible to Gentamycin and non-ESBL in the Clinical Microbiology Unit of the Dr. Soetomo Surabaya Hospital from May to August 2019.

So for this study we used 30 isolate of susceptible Gentamicyn non-ESBL *E. coli*. The sample's inclusion criteria are *E.coli* isolate which susceptible to *Ceftazidime*, *Cefotaxime*, *Ceftriaxone*, and *Cefoperazone Sulbactam*; these bacterial isolates have been identified and tested for antimicrobial sensitivity using an automatic technique (Phoenix TM or Vitek 2); *E.coli* which susceptible to *Gentamycin*. And the exclusion criteria is stored *E.coli* isolates that do not grow.

A sampling of bacterial clinical isolates from urine specimens was carried out using a consecutive sampling technique. Each sample that meets the research criteria is taken so that the required sample size is met. The research was conducted at the Clinical Microbiology Unit of Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya. From May 2019 -August 2019. The main material that used in this research was non-ESBL E. coli bacterial, isolates stored from the Clinical Microbiology Unit of Dr. Soetomo Surabaya. Additional materials used in this study were Mueller-Hinton agar medium, 30 µg *Cefotaxime* antibiotic disc, and 10 µg Gentamycin.

Result and Discussion

The samples of this study were 30 *Escherichia coli* isolates obtained from clinical specimens taken by consecutive sampling. From May 2019 to August 2019

samples were collected. *E. coli* isolates that were susceptible to *Gentamycin* non ESBL obtained from the automatic phoenix machine were retested using the Kirby-Bauer method. The re-sensitivity test using the Kirby-Bauer antibiotic disk diffusion method was carried out to equate the method used during the ESBL screening and confirmation test and to the sensitivity of *Gentamycin*.

All isolates that met the inclusionexclusion criteria were repeatedly exposed to *Gentamycin* (CN) discs for 14 days. The exposure was carried out every day in agar media containing MH agar using *Gentamycin* discs with a maximum length of study of 1-14 days. If within 1-14 days of the study, a positive result of *Gentamycin* resistance is obtained, then it will be continued by giving *Cefotaxime* exposure to screen for ESBL. At the beginning of the research, after the *E. coli* obtained from the automatic phoenix machine was then retested using the Kirby-Bauer method, it was found that 30 (100%) *E. coli* isolates were susceptible to *Gentamycin* and susceptible to *Cefotaxime*.

Then the 30 *E. coli* isolates were exposed to *Gentamycin* discs for 1-14 days. Every day, it was observed whether there were phenotypic changes in the *E. coli* isolate. Isolates that were still resistant to *Gentamycin* were replanted on MH agar media and then exposed to *Gentamycin* discs. This was done continuously for 14 days.

		(total n= 30)
No	Exposure	Exposure of <i>Gentamycin</i> 10µg

Table 1. E. coli Resistance to Exposure of Gentamicyn 10ug with Kirby-Bauer Method

No	Exposure	Exposure of Gen	ntamycin 10µg
		Sensitivity	Resistance
1	Day - 2	1 (3,3%)	29 (96,7%)
2	Day - 4	1 (3,3%)	28(93,3%)
3	Day - 10	2 (6,7%)	26(86,7%)

From the research, it was found that the *E. coli* phenotype changes from *Gentamycin* susceptible to *Gentamycin* resistance. These changes occurred on day 2 of 1 isolate of *E. coli* (3.3%), day 4 of 1

isolate (3.3%), and day 10 of 2 isolates of *E*. *coli* (6.7%). The next stage is that *E*. *coli* which has undergone a phenotypic change is tested using a Cefotaxim disc as an ESBL screening.

Table 2	. <i>E</i> .	coli	Gentamycin	Resistance to	Exposure of	Cefotax	ime 30ug v	with Kirby-
---------	--------------	------	------------	----------------------	-------------	---------	------------	-------------

Bauer Method (total n= 30)

No	Exposure	Exposure of <i>Cefotaxim</i> 30 µg		
		Sensitivity	Resistance	
1	Day - 1	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	

The results showed that 4 *E. coli* isolates that had changed their phenotypes to *Gentamycin*-resistant *E. coli* were also phenotypically changed to *E. coli* ESBL. Furthermore, after the completion of the

study time of 14 days, 26 isolates of *E. coli* that were susceptible to *Gentamycin* were exposed to *Cefotaxim* discs as ESBL screening.

Table 3. *E.coli suseptibel Gentamycin* Resistance to Exposure of *Cefotaxim* 30µg with Kirby-Bauer Method (total n=26)

No	Exposure	Paparan <i>Gentamycin</i> 10µg		
		Sensitivity	Resistance	
1	Day - 1	8 (30,8%)	18 (69,2%)	

The results showed that 8 isolates of E. coli non-ESBL (30.8%) and 18 isolates of E. coli (69.2%) had phenotypic changes to E. coli ESBL. From the statistics, it was found that there was no significant relationship between Gentamycin susceptible Е. coli non-ESBL and Gentamycin ESBL resistant E.coli (P = 0.550, Phi = 0.237).

In this study, 30 *E. coli* isolates were exposed to Gentamycin. The results showed that *E. coli* isolates had phenotypic changes from Gentamycin susceptible *E. coli* to Gentamycin resistant *E. coli*. This change occurred on day 2 in 1 isolate, day 4 in 1 isolate and day 10 in 2 isolates. So in total, 4 *E. coli* isolates that had phenotypic changes from Gentamycin susceptible *E. coli* to Gentamycin resistant *E. coli*.

This is related to the ability of bacteria to adapt to their environment. The presence of Gentamycin in the environment makes E.coli try to spread the gene coding for Gentamycin resistance via plasmids. Bacterial cells can respond to antibiotics so that they become resistant. These mechanisms include a decrease in the concentration of intracellular antibiotics of bacteria, changes in antibiotic molecules, and changes in antibiotic targets of action (Munita et al., 2016).

In this study, the results showed that *E. coli* experienced a phenotypic change from Gentamycin susceptible *E. coli* to *Gentamycin* resistant *E. coli*. This is due to

IIMJ Volume 3 No.1, December 2021. (27-35)

the gene encoding the resistance code for *Gentamycin* Antibiotics, namely Aseltiltransferase $\alpha\alpha c$ (3) - II α and $\alpha\alpha c$ (3) - VI α which are in plasmid R, if bacteria are exposed to *Gentamycin* continuously then Aseltiltransferase $\alpha\alpha c$ (3) - II α and $\alpha\alpha c$ (3)) - VI α will be expressed by releasing the enzyme N acetyltransferase. Then the enzyme will influence the bacteria to change their catch point in the 30S Ribosome sub-unit.

From the research, it was found that 4 E. coli isolates experienced phenotypic changes, but the rest remained susceptible to Gentamycin because the resistance mechanism of each antibiotic class could be different from other groups. Some researchers mention cross-resistance between different antibiotic classes (Talan et al., 2016). Furthermore, both E. coli isolates that were still susceptible to Gentamycin and those who had undergone phenotypic changes to be Gentamycin resistant were exposed to Cefotaxime as an ESBL screening. The results obtained on exposure to Gentamycin susceptible, E. coli Cefotaxime obtained have with the following results; 8 (30.8%) isolates that were still susceptible to Cefotaxime and 18 (69.2%) isolates that had turned into Gentamycin ESBL susceptible E. coli.

The results of 4 isolates *E. coli* resistant to *Gentamycin* (100%) after being exposed to *Cefotaxime*, all isolates were

resistant to Cefotaxime. This is following research conducted by Amin (2017) from the Clinical Microbiology Unit of the Dr. Soetomo Surabaya Hospital, where in this study there was a change in phenotypic properties from 4 (25%) non-ESBL E. coli isolates to ESBL E. coli after being exposed to Ciprofloxacin. The phenotypic change from Gentamycin susceptible E. coli non-ESBL to Gentamycin ESBL resistant E. coli is due to exposure to a class of antibiotics, in this case Gentamycin can cause crossresistance to other antibiotic classes, in this case, the beta-lactam group. Resistant strains can spread the resistant genes that are in their mobile gene to other bacteria horizontally, allowing viable bacteria that initially do not have a resistant gene to turn into a resistant strain. Conjugation is the most frequent gene transfer mechanism (Thacker D James et al., 2012). From the significant statistics, there was no relationship between Gentamycin susceptible Е. coli non-ESBL and Gentamycin ESBL resistant E. coli after being exposed to Gentamycin disk for 14 days (P = 0.550, Phi = 0.237).

Conclusion

The conclusion that can be drawn from the results of this study is that. Repeated exposure to *Gentamycin* for 14 days was not statistically significant to cause changes in the phenotype of nonESBL Gentamycin susceptible Escherichia

coli isolates to *Gentamycin*-resistant Escherichia coli isolates.

Reference

- Amin, M. 2017. Perbandingan Antara Paparan Ciprofloxacin Dan *Cefotaxime* terhadap Timbulnya ESBL Pada *Escherichia coli*, Fakultas Universitas Airlangga Surabaya
- Brusch, J.L. 2015. Catheter-Related Urinary Tract Infection. Diunduh dari http://emedicine.medscape.com/arti cle/2040035-overview

Accessed at 5 Oktober 2018

- CLSI M100-S24, 2014. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement pp.111-2
- Dolejska, M., Villa, L., Hasman, H., Hansen, L., Carottoli, A. 2013. Characterization of IncN plasmids carrying bla_{CTX-M-1} and qnr genes in *Escherichia coli* and Salmonella fromanimals, the environment, and humans. *In J. antimicrobe Chemother* 68 pp.333-9
- Elliot, T., Worthington, T., Osman, H and Gill, M. 2013. *Mikrobiologi Kedokteran & Infeksi Edisi 4*. Jakarta : EGC.
- Figuero, L.S.B., Traveso, T. G., Luque, P.B., González, M.D.G., Nieto, A.G., Martín, T.P., Sagrado, M.G., Laita, A.D., Castrillón, J.L.P. 2012. Epidemiology, Risk Factors and Comorbidity for Urinary Tract Infections Caused by Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) Producing Enterobacteria.

www.medscape.com/viewarticle/76 9624_print pada 18/5/20168

- Gallo, G dan Puglia, A.M. 2014. Antibiotics and Resistance: A Fatal Attraction. Antibiotics: Target, Mechanisms, and Resistance. *Wiley VCH Weinheim Germany* pp.73-108
- Hawa, L.C., Susilo, B., and Jayasari, N.E., 2011. Studi Komparasi Inaktivasi Escherichia coli dan Perubahan Sifat Fisik Pada Pasteurisasi Susu Sapi Segar Menggunakan Metode Pemanasan dan Tanpa Pemanasan Dengan Kejut Medan Listrik. Jakarta, Indonesia. J. Teknologi Pertanian.
- Heyns C.F., 2012. Urinary Tract Infection Associated With Conditions Causing Urinary Tract Obstruction And Stasis, Excluding Urolithiasis And Neuropathic Bladder. *World Journal of Urology* 30(1):77–83
- Jun KI, Koo HL, Kim MK, Kang CK, Kim MJ, Chun SH, Song JS, Kim HS, Kim NJ, Kim EC, et al., 2013. Trends in antibiotic use in a single university hospital. Korean J Nosocomial Infect Control.(18):44– 50
- Katzung, B.G., Masters, S.B. dan Trevor, A.J., 2014. *Farmakologi Dasar & Klinik*, Vol.2, Edisi 12, Editor Bahasa Indonesia Ricky Soeharsono et al., Penerbit Buku Kedokteran EGC, Jakarta.
- K. Ejrnæs, 2011. Bacterial Characteristics Of Importance For Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections Caused by Escherichia coli. Diunduh dari https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub med/21466767 accessed at 16 Oktober 2018
- Munita, Jose. M. & Arias, Caesar, A. 2016. Mechanism of Antibiotic Resistance. HHS Public Access Microbiol Spectr. Vol.4(2).

- Osthoff, M., McGuinness, SL., Wagen, AZ., Eisen, DP. 2015. Urinary Tract Infections Due To Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Producing Gram-Negative Bacteria: Identification Of Risk Factors And Outcome Predictors In An Australian Tertiarv Referral Hospital. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 34 (2015)pp 79-83
- Paramythiotou, E., Routsi, R. 2016. Association between infections caused by multidrugresistant gramnegative bacteria and mortality in critically ill patients In *World J Crit Care Med* 5(2): 111-20
- Sanchez, GV., Master, RN., Karlowsky, JA., Bordon, JM. 2012. In vitro antimicrobial resistance of urinary Escherichia coli isolates among U.S. outpatients from 2000 to 2010. In Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 56(4):2181-3
- Sass, Peter. 2017. Methods in Molecular Biology Antibiotics Methods and Protocols, Springer Science+Business Media New York
- Sharma, K.K., Saikia, R., Kotoky, J., Kalita, J.C. & Devi, R. 2011. Antifungal Activity of Solanum melongena L., Lawsonia inermis L., Justicia gendarussa B. (3), 1635-1640.
- Spadafino, J.T., Cohen, B., Liu, J., Larson, E. 2014. Temporal trends and risk factors for extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in adults with catheterassociated urinary tract infections. Diunduh dari http://link.springer.com/article/10.1 186/s13756-014-0039-y

Accessed at 4 Oktober 2018

Talan, DA., Takhar, SS., Krishnadasan, A.,
Abrahamian, FM., 2016.Fluoroquinolone-Resistantand
Extended-Spectrumβ-Lactamase-

Producing *Escherichia coli* Infections in Patients with Pyelonephritis, United States. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 22(9); 1594-604

- Thacker D, James., Carol, M Arlett. 2012. *The law of unintended consequences and antibiotics, Department of Microbiology and Immunology*, Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, USA.
- Tille, PM. 2014. Bailey & Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology 13th ed. Elsevier St. Louis, Missouri. Pp.307-28
- Todar, K. 2011. Bacterial Resistance to Antibiotics. Todar's online text book of bacteriology. Diunduh dari

http://textbookofbacteriology.net/re santimicrobial_3.html

Accessed at 11 November 2018

- Vardi, M., Kochavi, T., Denekamp, Y., Bitterman, H. 2012. Risk Factors for urinary tract infection caused by enterobacteriaceae with extendedspectrum Beta-lactamase resistance in Patients admitted to internal medicine departments. *IMAJ* (14): 115-8
- Vergidis, P., Patel, R.. 2012. Novel Approaches to the Diagnosis, Prevention and Treatment of Medical Device-Associated Infections. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 26(1): 173–186
- Walker, KE., Mahon, CR., Lehman, D., Manuselis, G. 2015. Enterobacteriaceae. InText book of diagnostic microbiology 5th ed. pp 420-54
- Wiguna, Septi Dian. 2016. Pola Resistensi Bakteri Terhadap Antibiotik Pada Penderita Infeksi Luka Operasi (ILO) Di Rumah Sakit X Periode Agustus 2013 – Agustus 2015.

Program Studi Farmasi Fakultas Farmasi Surakarta

- Woodford, N., Turton, J.F., Livermore,
 D.M. 2011. Multi Resistant Gram
 Negative Bacteria: The Role Of
 High-Risk Clones In The
 Dissemination Of Antibiotic
 Resistance. FEMS Microbiol. Rev
 35:736–55
- Zeng, X., Lin, J. 2013. Beta-Lactamase Induction And Cell Wall Metabolism In Gram-Negative Bacteria.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC3660660/ accessed at 20 Oktober 2016